

Homework No.4, 553.793, Due March 7, 2025.

1. The spatial coarse-graining (low-pass filtering) at length-scale ℓ , which was defined in class as

$$\bar{f}_\ell(\mathbf{x}) = \int d^d r G_\ell(\mathbf{r}) f(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{r}),$$

has two fundamental properties that we shall use repeatedly in this course. Prove both of these:

- (1) If f is differentiable, then $\nabla \bar{f}_\ell(\mathbf{x}) = \overline{(\nabla f)}_\ell(\mathbf{x})$.
- (2) If f is integrable, then $\int \bar{f}_\ell(\mathbf{x}) d^d x = \int f(\mathbf{x}) d^d x$.

2. In the definition of the convolution of two functions f and g

$$(f * g)(\mathbf{x}) = \int d\mathbf{r} f(\mathbf{r}) g(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}),$$

approximate the integral over \mathbf{r} as a Riemann sum in order to argue that its L_p -norm is bounded for $p \geq 1$ by

$$\|f * g\|_p \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_p.$$

Remark: We shall use this inequality repeatedly in the course. It is a special case of the *Young inequality for convolutions*, $\|f * g\|_r \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r} + 1$.

3. For any sequence of random variables X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots , the *cumulants* $C(X_1), C(X_1, X_2), C(X_1, X_2, X_3)$ are defined iteratively in terms of the moments $E(X_1 \cdots X_k)$ by

$$E(X_1) = C(X_1)$$

$$E(X_1 X_2) = C(X_1, X_2) + C(X_1)C(X_2)$$

$$E(X_1 X_2 X_3) = C(X_1, X_2, X_3) + C(X_1)C(X_2, X_3) + C(X_2)C(X_1, X_3) \\ + C(X_3)C(X_1, X_2) + C(X_1)C(X_2)C(X_3)$$

and so forth. Using the above formulas, verify that

(a) $C(X_1, X_2)$ and $C(X_1, X_2, X_3)$ do not change under permutations of their arguments, i.e. $C(X_1, X_2) = C(X_2, X_1)$, etc.

(b) Under shifts by constants a_1, a_2, a_3 , $C(X_1 + a_1) = C(X_1) + a_1$, $C(X_1 + a_1, X_2 + a_2) = C(X_1, X_2)$, and $C(X_1 + a_1, X_2 + a_2, X_3 + a_3) = C(X_1, X_2, X_3)$.

Remark: We shall later develop a more powerful approach to establish such results, based upon *cumulant generating functions*.

4. This problem involves repeated filtering and a simple (but useful) formula known in LES literature as the *Germano identity*.

(a) Consider filter kernels $\tilde{G}(\mathbf{r})$ and $\overline{G}(\mathbf{r})$, and corresponding filtering operations

$$\tilde{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \int d\mathbf{r} \tilde{G}(\mathbf{r})f(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{r}), \quad \overline{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \int d\mathbf{r} \overline{G}(\mathbf{r})f(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{r}).$$

Show that the double filtering operation $\widetilde{\overline{f}}(\mathbf{x})$ corresponds to a kernel given by the convolution of the two kernels, $\widetilde{\overline{G}} = \tilde{G} * \overline{G}$.

(b) Define $\overline{\tau}(f, g) = \overline{fg} - \overline{f}\overline{g}$, associated to \overline{G} and similarly for other kernels. Prove the “Germano identity”:

$$\widetilde{\overline{\tau}}(f, g) = \overline{\widetilde{\tau}(f, g)} + \widetilde{\overline{\tau}(\overline{f}, \overline{g})}.$$

5. The pressure-strain correlation $\tau_\ell(p, S_{ij})$ drops out of the balance equation for subscale kinetic energy $k_\ell = (1/2)\text{tr} \boldsymbol{\tau}_\ell$. However, this does not mean that it plays no role whatsoever in turbulent energy cascade. By considering separate equations for the energy in distinct velocity components $k_{\ell i} = (1/2)\tau_\ell(u_i, u_i)$ (with no summation over repeated i), try to explain the role of the pressure-strain in turbulence energetics. *Hint:* Read Tennekes & Lumley, Section 3.2 on pure shear flows, p.74.

6. Prove that the spherically-symmetric function

$$G(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{cases} \exp\left(\frac{-1}{1-r^2}\right) & r < 1 \\ 0 & r \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

is infinitely-differentiable, or C^∞ . In particular, show that the function and all of its derivatives are continuous at $r = 1$.

Remark: This is a simple example of a function that is C^∞ with compact support.

7. (a) Show that the incompressible Navier-Stokes-Fourier system

$$\partial_t \mathbf{v} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} = -\nabla p + \nu \Delta \mathbf{v}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$$

$$\partial_t T + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) T = \lambda_T \Delta T + \varepsilon / c_P$$

with $\varepsilon = 2\nu|\mathbf{S}|^2$ is equivalent to the following system of conservation laws for mass, momentum, and energy:

$$\partial_t \mathbf{v} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v} + p\mathbf{I} - 2\nu\mathbf{S}) = \mathbf{0}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$$

$$\partial_t \left(\frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{v}|^2 + c_P T \right) + \nabla \cdot \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{v}|^2 + c_P T + p \right) \mathbf{v} - 2\nu \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{v} - (\kappa/\rho) \nabla T \right] = 0$$

where ρ is the constant mass-density and $\kappa = \rho c_P \lambda_T$ is the thermal conductivity.

(b) If the energy conservation equation is coarse-grained at length-scale ℓ , giving

$$\partial_t \overline{\left(\frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{v}|^2 + c_P T \right)}_\ell + \nabla \cdot \overline{\left[\left(\frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{v}|^2 + c_P T + p \right) \mathbf{v} - 2\nu \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{v} - (\kappa/\rho) \nabla T \right]}_\ell = 0,$$

then show that pointwise

$$\nabla \cdot \overline{(2\nu \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{v})}_\ell, \nabla \cdot \overline{((\kappa/\rho) \nabla T)}_\ell \rightarrow 0$$

in the joint limit $\nu, \kappa \rightarrow 0$. In this conservation form, we can take transport coefficients to be space-time dependent functions $\nu(\mathbf{x}), \kappa(\mathbf{x})$ and we assume that

$$\sup_{\mathbf{x} \in K} \nu(\mathbf{x}), \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in K} \kappa(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow 0$$

for any compact (closed, bounded) sets K . We also assume that viscous dissipation rates and thermal dissipation rates smeared with C^∞ , compactly-supported test functions φ remain finite

$$\int d^d x \varphi(\mathbf{x}) 2\nu(\mathbf{x}) |\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{x})|^2 < \infty, \quad \int d^d x \varphi(\mathbf{x}) \kappa(\mathbf{x}) |\nabla T(\mathbf{x})|^2 < \infty,$$

in the limit. *Hint:* Use the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality.