

Homework #3 - Solutions

Problem 1. If A_0 is the cross-sectional area of the original vortex tube and if A is the same for the stretched tube, the conservation of vorticity flux (Helmholtz Theorem) implies

$$\omega_0 A_0 = \omega A.$$

On the other hand, conservation of volume (incompressibility) implies that

$$l_0 A_0 = l A.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\omega}{\omega_0} = \frac{A_0}{A} = \frac{l}{l_0}. \quad \underline{\text{QED}}$$

Problem 2. The equation for balance of momentum of the fluid is

$$\partial_t(\rho \mathbf{u}) + \rho \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u} \mathbf{u} + p \mathbf{I} - 2\nu \mathbf{S}) = 0$$

Thus, the force of the body acting on the fluid \mathbf{F}' is given by

$$\mathbf{F}' = \frac{d\mathbf{P}}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \int_S \rho \mathbf{u} dV$$

$$= - \iint_{\partial S} \rho \hat{n} \cdot (\mathbf{u} \mathbf{u} + p \mathbf{I} - 2\nu \mathbf{S}) dA \quad \text{by divergence theorem}$$

$$= - \iint_{\partial S} (\rho \hat{n} - 2\nu \mathbf{S} \cdot \hat{n}) dA \quad \text{using } \mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{n} = 0$$

$$= - \iint_{\partial S} (\rho \hat{n} + \mathbf{T}_w) dA \quad \text{using } \mathbf{T}_w = -2\nu \mathbf{S} \cdot \hat{n}$$

By Newton's third law, $\mathbf{F} = -\mathbf{F}' = \rho \iint_{\partial B} (\rho \hat{n} + \mathbf{T}_w) dA$.

Problem 3. (a) Note first by taking the gradient of

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{x}^t(a) = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}^t(a), t)$$

that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \nabla_a \mathbf{x}^t(a) = \nabla_a \mathbf{x}^t(a) \cdot \nabla_x \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}^t(a), t) \quad \text{by chain rule.}$$

In that case,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \nabla_a \mathbf{x}^t(a) &= -(\nabla_a \mathbf{x}^t(a))^{-1} \frac{d}{dt} \nabla_a \mathbf{x}^t(a) \cdot (\nabla_a \mathbf{x}^t(a))^{-1} \\ &= -\nabla_x \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}^t(a), t) (\nabla_a \mathbf{x}^t(a))^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

With the definition of the Cauchy invariant $\Omega(a, t) = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}^t(a), t) \cdot (\nabla_a \mathbf{x}^t(a))^{-1}$ we obtain from the product rule

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \Omega(a, t) &= D_t \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}^t(a), t) \cdot (\nabla_a \mathbf{x}^t(a))^{-1} \\ &\quad + \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}^t(a), t) \cdot \frac{d}{dt} (\nabla_a \mathbf{x}^t(a))^{-1} \\ &= (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}^t(a), t) \cdot (\nabla_a \mathbf{x}^t(a))^{-1} \\ &\quad - \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}^t(a), t) \cdot \nabla_x \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}^t(a), t) (\nabla_a \mathbf{x}^t(a))^{-1} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

(b) Starting from the definition of the Weber velocity

$$\mathbf{w}(a, t) := \nabla_a \mathbf{x}^t(a) \cdot \mathbf{v}(a, t)$$

and the Euler equations written in Lagrangian form

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(a, t) = -\nabla p(x^t(a), t)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}(a, t) &= \nabla_a \frac{\partial x^t(a)}{\partial t} \cdot v(a, t) + \nabla_a x^t(a) \cdot \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(a, t) \\ &= \nabla_a v(a, t) \cdot v(a, t) - \nabla_a x^t(a) \cdot \nabla_x p(x^t(a), t) \\ &= \nabla_a \left(\frac{1}{2} |v(a, t)|^2 - p(x^t(a), t) \right)\end{aligned}$$

by the product rule and the chain rule, respectively.

(c) Again using the definition of w :

$$\begin{aligned}\oint_C da \cdot w(a, t) &= \oint_C (da \cdot \nabla_a) x^t(a) \cdot u(x^t(a), t) \\ &= \oint_{C(t)} dx \cdot u(x, t)\end{aligned}$$

where $C(t) = X^t(C)$, by the change of variables formula.
we then obtain from part (b) that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt} \oint_{C(t)} dx \cdot u(x, t) &= \oint_C da \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t} w(a, t) \\ &= \oint_C da \cdot \nabla_a \left(\frac{1}{2} |v(a, t)|^2 - p(x^t(a), t) \right) \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

since the integrand of the line-integral is a total gradient.

d) Let us define $\Omega_j^*(a, t) = \nabla_a \times w(a, t)$, or

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Omega_j^* &= \epsilon_{jkl} \frac{\partial w_l}{\partial a_k} \\
 &= \epsilon_{jkl} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_k} [v_n(a, t) \frac{\partial X_n^t}{\partial a_l}] \quad \text{by definition of } w \\
 &= \epsilon_{jkl} \left[\frac{\partial v_n}{\partial a_k} \frac{\partial X_n^t}{\partial a_l} + v_n \cancel{\frac{\partial^2 X_n^t}{\partial a_k \partial a_l}} \right] \quad \text{by symmetry in } k, l \\
 &= \epsilon_{jnl} \frac{\partial v_n}{\partial X_m} \frac{\partial X_m^t}{\partial a_k} \frac{\partial X_n^t}{\partial a_l} \quad \text{since } \frac{\partial v_n}{\partial a_k} = \frac{\partial v_n}{\partial X_m} \frac{\partial X_m^t}{\partial a_k} \\
 &\qquad \qquad \qquad \text{by the chain rule}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\epsilon_{jkl} \frac{\partial X_m^t}{\partial a_k} \frac{\partial X_n^t}{\partial a_l}$ is anti-symmetric in m, n , only the anti-symmetric part Ω_{nm} of $v_{n,m} = \frac{\partial v_n}{\partial X_m}$ contributes, so that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Omega_j^* &= \epsilon_{jkl} \Omega_{nm} \frac{\partial X_m^t}{\partial a_k} \frac{\partial X_n^t}{\partial a_l} \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{jkl} \epsilon_{nmp} w_p \frac{\partial X_m^t}{\partial a_k} \frac{\partial X_n^t}{\partial a_l} \quad \text{using } \Omega_{nm} = -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{nmp} w_p.
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Omega_j^* \frac{\partial X_i^t}{\partial a_j} &= -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{nmp} w_p \cdot \epsilon_{jkl} \frac{\partial X_i^t}{\partial a_j} \frac{\partial X_m^t}{\partial a_k} \frac{\partial X_n^t}{\partial a_l} \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{nmp} w_p \cdot \frac{\partial(X_i^t, X_m^t, X_n^t)}{\partial(a_1, a_2, a_3)} \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{nmp} w_p \cdot \epsilon_{imn}
 \end{aligned}$$

since $\frac{\partial(X_1^t, X_2^t, X_3^t)}{\partial(a_1, a_2, a_3)} = 1$ and the determinant is anti-symmetric under interchange of columns. Thus,

$$\Omega_j^* \frac{\partial X_i^t}{\partial a_j} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{imn} \epsilon_{pmn} w_p = \delta_{ip} w_p = w_i \quad \text{since } \epsilon_{imn} \epsilon_{pmn} = 2\delta_{ip}.$$

Thus,

$$\Omega_j^* = w_i (\frac{\partial X^t}{\partial a})_{ij}^{-1} = \Omega_j. \quad \underline{\text{QED}}$$

Problem 4. (a) Since $\tilde{W}(s)$ does not depend upon x , we see by applying the gradient ∇_x to the equation

$$\hat{d}_s \tilde{A}_t^s(x) = u(\tilde{A}_t^s(x), s) ds + \sqrt{2\nu} \hat{d}\tilde{W}(s)$$

that

$$\hat{d}_s \nabla_x \tilde{A}_t^s(x) = \nabla_x \tilde{A}_t^s(x) \cdot \nabla_x u(\tilde{A}_t^s(x), s) ds,$$

which is an ODE without a stochastic term. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds} (\nabla_x \tilde{A}_t^s(x))^{-1} &= - (\nabla_x \tilde{A}_t^s(x))^{-1} \frac{d}{ds} \nabla_x \tilde{A}_t^s(x) (\nabla_x \tilde{A}_t^s(x))^{-1} \\ &= - \nabla_x u(\tilde{A}_t^s(x), s) \cdot (\nabla_x \tilde{A}_t^s(x))^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the definition $\tilde{D}_t^s(x) = (\nabla_x \tilde{A}_t^s(x))^{-T}$ we obtain by taking the transpose that

$$\frac{d}{ds} \tilde{D}_t^s(x) = - \tilde{D}_t^s(x) (\nabla_x u(\tilde{A}_t^s(x), s))^T.$$

By its definition, $\tilde{A}_t^+(x) = x \Rightarrow \nabla_x \tilde{A}_t^+(x) = I \Rightarrow \tilde{D}_t^+(x) = I^{-T} = I$.

(b) Applying the backward Itô rule

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{d}_s w(\tilde{A}_t^s(x), s) &= (\partial_s w - \nu \Delta w)(\tilde{A}_t^s(x), s) ds \\ &\quad + (u(\tilde{A}_t^s(x), s) ds + \sqrt{2\nu} \hat{d}\tilde{W}(s)) \cdot \nabla w(\tilde{A}_t^s(x), s) \\ &= (w \cdot \nabla) u(\tilde{A}_t^s(x), s) ds + \sqrt{2\nu} (\hat{d}\tilde{W}(s) \cdot \nabla) w(\tilde{A}_t^s(x), s) \end{aligned}$$

using $D_s w(x, s) = (w \cdot \nabla) u(x, s)$ to obtain the second line.

Using then the definition

$$\tilde{w}_s(x, t) := \tilde{D}_t^s(x) w(\tilde{A}_t^s(x), s)$$

we obtain from the product rule

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{d}_s \tilde{w}_s(x, t) &= \hat{d}_s \tilde{D}_t^s(x) \cdot w(\tilde{A}_t^s(x), s) + \tilde{D}_t^s(x) \cdot \hat{d}_s w(\tilde{A}_t^s(x), s) \\ &= -\tilde{D}_t^s(x) \cdot (\nabla_x u(\tilde{A}_t^s(x), s))^{-T} w(\tilde{A}_t^s(x), s) ds \\ &\quad + \tilde{D}_t^s(x) \cdot \left[(w \cdot \nabla) u(\tilde{A}_t^s(x), s) ds + \sqrt{2\nu} (\hat{d}\tilde{W}(s) \cdot \nabla) w(\tilde{A}_t^s(x), s) \right] \\ &= \sqrt{2\nu} (\hat{d}\tilde{W}(s) \cdot \nabla) w(\tilde{A}_t^s(x), s), \end{aligned}$$

as required. Here it is worth noting that the product rule in general must be modified for backward Itô calculus, and that generally

$$\hat{d}_s(fg) = (\hat{d}_s f)g + f(\hat{d}_s g) + \hat{d}_s \langle f, g \rangle$$

where $\langle f, g \rangle$ is the so-called covariation or quadratic variation. However, when one of f and g has finite variation (as $\tilde{D}_t^s(x)$ does above), then $\langle f, g \rangle \equiv 0$ and the usual product rule holds.

Finally, we note by integration that

$$\tilde{w}_s(x, t) = w(x, t) - \sqrt{2\nu} \int_s^t (\hat{d}\tilde{W}(r) \cdot \nabla) w(\tilde{A}_r^s(x), r) dr$$

and that the latter backward Itô integral is a martingale backward in time in the variable s .

Problem 5. Exactly as in Problem 4,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{d}_s \omega(\tilde{A}_t^s(x), s) &= (\partial_s \omega - v \Delta \omega)(\tilde{A}_t^s(x), s) ds \\
 &\quad + (u(\tilde{A}_t^s(x), s) ds + \sqrt{2v} \hat{d}\tilde{W}(s) - v \nabla(\tilde{A}_t^s(x)) \hat{d}\tilde{l}_t^s(x)) \\
 &\quad \cdot \nabla \omega(\tilde{A}_t^s(x), s) \\
 &= (\omega \cdot \nabla) u(\tilde{A}_t^s(x), s) ds + (\sqrt{2v} \hat{d}\tilde{W}(s) - v \nabla(\tilde{A}_t^s(x)) \hat{d}\tilde{l}_t^s(x)) \\
 &\quad \cdot \nabla \omega(\tilde{A}_t^s(x), s) \\
 &= (\omega \cdot \nabla) u(\tilde{A}_t^s(x), s) ds + (\sqrt{2v} \hat{d}\tilde{W}(s) \cdot \nabla) \omega(\tilde{A}_t^s(x), s) \\
 &\quad + \sigma^P(\tilde{A}_t^s(x), s) \hat{d}\tilde{l}_t^s(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows by the product rule that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{d}_s (\tilde{D}_t^s(x) \omega(\tilde{A}_t^s(x), s)) &= -\tilde{D}_t^s(x) \cdot (\nabla_x u(\tilde{A}_t^s(x), s))^T \omega(\tilde{A}_t^s(x), s) ds \\
 &\quad + \tilde{D}_t^s(x) \cdot \hat{d}_s \omega(\tilde{A}_t^s(x), s) \\
 &= \tilde{D}_t^s(x) \cdot \left[(\sqrt{2v} \hat{d}\tilde{W}(s) \cdot \nabla) \omega(\tilde{A}_t^s(x), s) + \sigma^P(\tilde{A}_t^s(x), s) \hat{d}\tilde{l}_t^s(x) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, with $\tilde{L}_t^s(x) := \int_s^t \tilde{D}_r^r(x) \cdot \sigma^P(\tilde{A}_r^r(x), r) \hat{d}\tilde{l}_r^r(x)$,

$$\hat{d}_s \tilde{L}_t^s(x) = -\tilde{D}_t^s(x) \cdot \sigma^P(\tilde{A}_t^s(x), s) \hat{d}\tilde{l}_t^s(x)$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{d}_s \tilde{\omega}_s(x, +) &= \hat{d}_s (\tilde{D}_t^s(x) \omega(\tilde{A}_t^s(x), s) + \tilde{L}_t^s(x)) \\
 &= \tilde{D}_t^s(x) \cdot (\sqrt{2v} \hat{d}\tilde{W}(s) \cdot \nabla) \omega(\tilde{A}_t^s(x), s),
 \end{aligned}$$

as required.

Problem 6. (a) Using the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in the form

$$\partial_t u = u \times \omega - \nabla h - \nu \nabla \times \omega$$

from the identity $-(u \cdot \nabla)u = u \times (\nabla \times u) - \nabla(\frac{1}{2}|u|^2)$, we can also rewrite this as

$$\partial_t u_i = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} \sum_{jk} - \partial_i h$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{jk} &= u_j w_k - u_k w_j - \nu \left(\frac{\partial w_k}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial w_j}{\partial x_k} \right) \\ &= \epsilon_{jkl} (u \times \omega - \nu \nabla \times \omega)_l. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the ensemble/time/xz-average, we obtain

$$0 = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} \overline{\sum_{jk}} - \overline{\partial_i h}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\overline{\sum_{ij}} = \epsilon_{ijk} \overline{\partial_k h}.$$

(i) $ij = YZ$: From the above result

$$\overline{\sum_{YZ}} = \frac{\partial \overline{h}}{\partial x}.$$

Also,

$$\overline{\sum_{YZ}} = \overline{vw_z - wv_y} - \nu \frac{\partial \overline{w_z}}{\partial y}.$$

Hence we have used the fact that $\overline{w_z} = -\partial \overline{u}/\partial y$ is the only non-vanishing component of mean vorticity. Finally,

$$\overline{vw_z - ww_y} - v \frac{\partial \bar{w}_z}{\partial y} = \bar{\sum}_{yz} = \frac{\partial \bar{h}}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial \bar{p}}{\partial x},$$

where in the last equality we used $\bar{h} = \bar{p} + \frac{1}{2} \bar{|u|^2}$ and
 $(\partial/\partial x) \frac{1}{2} \bar{|u|^2} = 0$.

(ii) $ij = zx$: Similarly, we obtain from the general relation

$$\bar{\sum}_{zx} = \frac{\partial \bar{h}}{\partial y}$$

and now

$$\bar{\sum}_{zx} = \overline{ww_x - uw_z}$$

since $\bar{w}_x = 0$ and $\partial \bar{w}_z / \partial x = 0$. Thus,

$$\overline{ww_x - uw_z} = \bar{\sum}_{zx} = \frac{\partial \bar{h}}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial \bar{p}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{1}{2} \bar{u^2 + v^2 + w^2} \right)$$

from the definition of \bar{h} .

(iii) $ij = xy$: Again, since all z -derivatives vanish,

$$\bar{\sum}_{xy} = \frac{\partial \bar{h}}{\partial z} = 0$$

and

$$\bar{\sum}_{xy} = \overline{uw_y - vw_x}$$

since $\bar{w}_y = \bar{w}_x = 0$. Thus,

$$\overline{uw_y - vw_x} = \bar{\sum}_{xy} = 0.$$

(b) From part (a)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\partial \bar{p}}{\partial x} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \bar{\sum}_{yz}.$$

However, recall that

$$\partial_t w_z + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \bar{\sum}_{xz} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \bar{\sum}_{yz} = 0.$$

Taking the average and noting that $\partial_t \bar{w}_z = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \bar{\sum}_{xz} = 0$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\partial \bar{p}}{\partial x} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \bar{\sum}_{yz} = 0.$$

(c) The general identity

$$u \times w = -\nabla \cdot (uu) + \nabla \left(\frac{1}{2} |u|^2 \right)$$

for x-component gives

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{vw_z - uw_y} &= -\nabla \cdot (\bar{u}\bar{u}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{1}{2} \overline{|u|^2} \right) \\ &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \overline{uv} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \overline{u'v'}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{uv} = \overline{u'v'}$ since $\bar{v}=0$. Finally, the y-component of the vector calculus identity gives

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{uw_x - uw_z} &= -\nabla \cdot (\bar{u}\bar{v}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{1}{2} \overline{|u|^2} \right) \\ &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \overline{v^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{1}{2} \overline{u^2 + v^2 + w^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$