

1. Field with  $\bar{2} = 0$  :

$$X = \{0, 1\}$$

+	0	1
0	0	1
1	1	0

*	0	1
0	0	0
1	0	1

Here  
 $\bar{2} = 1 + 1 = 0$

Field with  $\bar{3} = 0$  :

$$X = \{0, 1, 2\}$$

+	0	1	2
0	0	1	2
1	1	2	0
2	2	0	1

(addition modulo 3)

*	0	1	2
0	0	0	0
1	0	1	2
2	0	2	1

(multiplication modulo 3)

Here

$$\bar{3} = 1 + 1 + 1 = 0$$

2. Proof by induction on  $m$ .

①  $P(m) \equiv \overline{mn} = 0 \quad \forall m \geq 1$ .

1. Establish  $P(1)$  :  $\overline{(1)n} = \bar{n} = 0$  by assumption.

2. Assume  $P(m+1)$  true.

Consider  $\overline{mn} = \underbrace{1+1+1+\dots+1}_{mn \text{ times}} + 1$

$$= \underbrace{1+1+1\dots+1}_{(m-1)n \text{ times}} + \underbrace{1+1+\dots+1}_{n \text{ times}}$$

$$= \overline{(m-1)n} + \bar{n}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 0 + \bar{n} && (\text{by } \cancel{\text{Induction}} \text{ Hypothesis}) \\
 &= \bar{n} && (\text{by field axiom 3}). \\
 &= 0 && (\text{by assumption}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $P(m)$  is true.  $\blacksquare$

3.

$$a < b \quad \text{and} \quad c < d.$$

$$\text{since } c < d$$

$$\Rightarrow c + (-c) < d + (-c) \quad [\text{by order axiom 2}]$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 < d + (-c) \quad [\text{field axiom 4}].$$

$$\Rightarrow a \cdot (d + (-c)) < b \cdot (d + (-c)) \quad [\text{order axiom 4}]$$

~~$$\Rightarrow ad + a(-c) < bd + b(-c) \quad [\text{field axiom 5}]$$~~

$$\Rightarrow (d + (-c)) \cdot a < (d + (-c)) \cdot b \quad [\text{field axiom 5}]$$

$$\Rightarrow d \cdot a + (-c) \cdot a < d \cdot b + (-c) \cdot b \quad [\text{field axiom 9}].$$

~~$$\Rightarrow ad + (-ca) < bd + (-cb)$$~~ [field axiom 5  
and consequence  
that  $(-a)b = -ab$ ]

Add  $cb + ca$  both sides by  
order axiom 3.

$$\Rightarrow ad + (-ca) + (cb + ca) < bd + (-cb) + (cb + ca)$$

$$\Rightarrow ad + (cb + ca) + (-ca) < bd + (-cb + cb) + ca$$

~~$$\Rightarrow ad < bd$$~~

$$\Rightarrow ad + cb + 0 < bd + 0 + ca \quad [\text{Field axiom 4}]$$

$$\Rightarrow ad + cb < bd + ca \quad [\text{Field axiom 3}]$$

$$\Rightarrow ad + bc < \cancel{ac} + bd \quad [\text{Field axioms 1+5}]$$

A. Since  $x \neq 0$ , we consider 2 exhaustive cases by Order axiom 1:

Case 1:  $0 < x \Rightarrow 0 \cdot x < x \cdot x \quad [\text{by order axiom 4}]$

$$\Rightarrow 0 < x^2 \quad [\text{By consequence that } 0 \cdot x = 0]$$

Case 2:  $x < 0 \Rightarrow \cancel{0} < -x \quad [\text{by consequence that } x < 0]$

$$\Rightarrow x(-x) < 0 \cdot (-x) \quad [\text{Order axiom 4}] \Leftrightarrow -x > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x(-x) < 0 \quad [\text{by consequence } 0 \cdot x = 0]$$

$$\Rightarrow -(x)(x) < 0 \quad [\text{by consequence } (-a)(b) = -(a \cdot b)]$$

$$\Rightarrow -x^2 < 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x^2 > 0 \quad [\text{by consequence } a < 0 \Leftrightarrow -a > 0 \text{ and } -(-a) = a]$$

In both cases, we get  $0 < x^2 \checkmark$

5. Since  $1 = (1)(1) = 1^2$  and  $1 \neq 0$ .

By exercise 4,  $0 < 1$ .

b. We first establish (ii) :  $\overline{n+m} = \overline{n} + \overline{m}$   $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{n+m} &= \underbrace{1+1+\dots+1}_{n+m \text{ times}} \\ &= \underbrace{1+1+\dots+1}_{n \text{ times}} + \underbrace{1+1+\dots+1}_{m \text{ times}} \\ &= \overline{n} + \overline{m}\end{aligned}$$

Now establish (i) : ~~If~~  $n \neq m \Rightarrow \overline{n} \neq \overline{m}$ .

First we show that if  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  then  $\overline{a} > 0$ .

If: By induction on  $a$ .

For  $a=1$ .  $\Rightarrow \overline{a}=1>0$  by exercise 5.

For  $a \geq 2$ , assume  $\overline{a-1} > 0$  and show  $\overline{a} > 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{a} &= \underbrace{1+1+\dots+1}_{a \text{ times}} \\ &= \underbrace{1+1+\dots+1}_{a-1 \text{ times}} + 1.\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } \bar{a} = \overline{a-1} + 1.$$

By induction hypothesis,  $\overline{a-1} > 0$ .

Thus  $\overline{a-1} + 1 > 0 + 1$  [by order axiom 3]

$$\Rightarrow \bar{a} > 1 \quad [\text{field axiom 3}]$$

But exercise 5 shows  $1 > 0$ .

$$\therefore \bar{a} > 0 \quad [\text{Order axiom 2}]$$

Now to show  $n \neq m \Rightarrow \bar{n} \neq \bar{m}$

Assume  ~~$n < m$~~   $m < n$  (the other case is similar).

$$\Rightarrow n - m > 0 \Rightarrow \overline{n-m} > 0 \quad [\text{by what we showed before}]$$

Now by part (ii)

$$\bar{n} = \overline{(n-m)+m} = \overline{n-m} + \bar{m}$$

Now take  $\overline{n-m} > 0$

and add  $\bar{m}$  both sides by order axiom 3.

$$\Rightarrow \overline{n-m} + \bar{m} > 0 + \bar{m}$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{n} > \bar{m} \quad [\text{by } \bar{n} = \overline{n-m} + \bar{m} \text{ and field axiom 3}]$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{n} \neq \bar{m} \quad \text{by order axiom 1.}$$

6(iv) In the proof of part (i) we showed

$$\bar{a} > 0 \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{N}$$

We now show if  $a \neq 1$ ,  $\bar{a} > 1$ .  
and  $a \in \mathbb{N}$

Since  $a \neq 1$ , and  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$a-1 \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{a-1} > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{a-1} + 1 > 0 + 1 \quad [\text{Order axiom 3}]$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{a} > 1 \quad [\text{Field axiom 3}]$$

$\therefore$  either  $a = 1$ , and so  $\bar{a} = 1$ .

or  $a \neq 1$ , and  $\bar{a} > 1$ .

Now if  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n = 1$ .

$$\text{Then } (\bar{n})^2 = 1^2 = 1 = \bar{n} \quad \text{so } (\bar{n})^2 \geq \bar{n}$$

If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n > 1$ .

$$\text{Then } \bar{n} > 1$$

$$\text{and also } \bar{n} > 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow (\bar{n})(\bar{n}) > (1)(\bar{n}) \quad [\text{Order axiom 4}]$$

$$\Rightarrow (\bar{n})^2 > \bar{n}$$

$$\therefore (\bar{n})^2 \geq \bar{n} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

7. Since  $0 < 1$

$$\Rightarrow -1 < 0$$

[consequence  $a > 0$   
 $\Leftrightarrow -a < 0$ ]

But exercise 4 shows  $x^2 \geq 0$  if  $x \neq 0$ .

since  $-1 < 0$  this shows for  $x \neq 0$ ,  ~~$x^2$  cannot be  $-1$ .~~

And if  $x = 0$ , then  $x^2 = 0 \neq -1$ .

Thus, in no ordered field  $X$  can there exist an element  $x \in X$  such that  $x^2 = -1$ .

8. I will present the proof suggested by a student in class:

By induction on  $|E|$ .

Case when  $|E|=1$ :  $E = \{x\}$  and clearly  $x$  is a maximum and minimum.

Case when  $|E| \geq 2$ : Assume the statement is true

for all sets  $E$  such that  $|E|=n-1$   
and show it holds when  $|E|=n$ .

Consider any  $x \in E$ .

~~Let  $y \in E$  be a maximum.~~

Let  $E' = E \setminus \{x\}$ .

$$|E'| = |E| - 1 = n-1.$$

Thus,  $E'$  has a max and min.

Say  $M' = \max \text{ for } E'$

and  $m' = \min \text{ for } E'$ .

If  $x \leq M'$  then  ~~$\Rightarrow$   $x$  is a max~~  
let  $M = M'$

else let  $M = x$ .

If  $x \leq m'$ , let  $m = x$ ; else let  $m = m'$ .

Claim:  $M$  is a max for  $E$ , and  $m$  is a min for  $E$ .

Pf: First show  $M$  is a max for  $E$ .

Case 1:  $x \leq M'$ . So  $M = M'$

$\forall y \in E$ , if  $y \neq x$ , then  $y \in E'$

$\Rightarrow y \leq M'$  [since  $M'$  is a max for  $E'$ ]

• and if  $y = x$  then  $y \leq M'$   
since  $x \leq M'$ .

$\Rightarrow \forall y \in E$ ,  $y \leq M' = M$

Also  $M = M' \in E' \subseteq E \Rightarrow M \in E$ .

Thus  $M$  is a max for  $E$ .

This argument goes after  
case 2

~~Real show~~

Case 2:  $\nsubseteq M' \subset X$ . So  $M = X$ .

$\forall y \in E$ , if  $y \neq x$ , then  $y \in E'$

$$\Rightarrow y \leq M' \subset X$$

$$\Rightarrow y < x = M.$$

$\nexists y = x$ , then  $y = x = M$ .

$\therefore \forall y \in E$ ,  $y \leq M$ .

---

The argument for  $m = \min$  for  $E$  is similar.

---

9. If  $X$  is a finite set, it has a maximum say  $M \in X$  by exercise 8.

We know  $0 < 1$  from exercise 5.

Add  $M$  both sides:

$$0+M < 1+M.$$

$$\Rightarrow M < 1+M.$$

But this means the element  $1+M > M$  which is a contradiction to  $M$  being the maximum for  $X$ .

6(iii) ~~Proof~~ by induction on  $m$ .

For  $m=1$ :  $\overline{(1)n} = \overline{n} = \cancel{1 \cdot \overline{n}} = \cancel{(1)}(\overline{n})$ .

For  $m \geq 2$ : Assume  $\overline{(m-1)n} = (\overline{m-1})(\overline{n})$  and show  $\overline{mn} = (\overline{m})(\overline{n})$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{mn} &= \overline{(m-1)n + n} \\&= \overline{(m-1)n} + \overline{n} \quad \text{by part (ii)} \\&= (\overline{m-1})(\overline{n}) + \overline{n} \quad \text{by induction hypothesis} \\&= (\overline{m-1})(\overline{n}) + 1 \cdot \overline{n} \quad [\text{field axiom 7}] \\&= (\overline{m-1} + 1)(\overline{n}) \quad [\text{field axiom 9}] \\&= \left( \underbrace{1+1+\dots+1}_{m-1 \text{ times}} + 1 \right) (\overline{n}) \\&= \left( \underbrace{1+1+\dots+1}_{m \text{ times}} \right) (\overline{n}) \\&= (\overline{m})(\overline{n}) \quad \checkmark.\end{aligned}$$

10.  $a < b$   
 $\Rightarrow ac < bc$  [by order axiom 4]  
since  $c > 0$ .

Also  $c < d$   
 $\Rightarrow bc < bd$  [by order axiom 4]  
since  $b > 0$

since  $ac < bc$  and  $bc < bd$   
 $\therefore ac < bd$  [by order axiom 2]

11.  $a > 0$  and  $b > 0$   
 $\Rightarrow a \cdot b > 0 \cdot b$  [order axiom 4].  
 $\Rightarrow a \cdot b > 0$  [consequence  $0 \cdot b = 0$ ].

$a < 0$  and  $b > 0$   
 $\Rightarrow a \cdot b < 0 \cdot b$  [order axiom 4].  
 $\Rightarrow ab < 0$  [consequence  $0 \cdot b = 0$ ].

$a < 0$  and  $b < 0$   
 $\Rightarrow -a > 0$  and  $b < 0$  [consequence  $\begin{cases} a < 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow -a > 0 \end{cases}$ ]  
 $\Rightarrow (-a)(b) < (-a)(0)$  [order axiom 4].

$\Rightarrow -(ab) < 0$  [consequence  $0 \cdot a = 0$   
and  $(-a)(0) = -(ab)$ ].

$\Rightarrow ab > 0$  [consequence  $a < 0 \Leftrightarrow -a > 0$   
and  $-(-a) = 0$ ].

$$12. \quad a < b \Rightarrow a^2 < b^2$$

follows from exercise 10 using  $\begin{cases} a = a \\ b = b \\ c = a \\ d = b \end{cases}$

In the other direction

~~$a^2 < b^2$~~

~~$a^2 + (-a^2) < b^2 + (-a^2)$~~  [order axiom 3]

~~$a^2 + (-a^2) < b^2 + (-a^2)$~~  [field axiom 3].

$$\Rightarrow 0 < b^2 + (-a^2) \quad [\text{field axiom 3}].$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 < (b+a)(b+(-a)) \quad [\text{consequence from class}]$$

Now since  $a > 0$  and  $b > 0$

$$\Rightarrow a+b > b+0 \text{ and } b > 0 \quad [\text{order axiom}]$$

$$\Rightarrow a+b > 0. \quad [\text{order axiom 2}]$$

~~Consider 3 cases by order axiom 1:~~

Consider 3 cases by order axiom 1:

Case 1 :  ~~$b+(-a) = 0$~~

$$\Rightarrow (b+(-a))(b+a) = 0 \quad [\text{consequence } 0 \cdot a = 0]$$

this contradicts  $0 < (b+a)(b+(-a))$ .

Case 2 :  $b+(-a) < 0$

but then  $(b+(-a))(b+a) < 0(b+a)$   $\begin{cases} \text{since } a+b > 0 \\ \text{and order} \\ \text{axiom 4} \end{cases}$

$$\Rightarrow (b+(-a))(b+a) < 0$$

this contradicts  $0 < (b+a)(b+(-a))$

Thus ~~b+(-a)~~  $b+(-a) > 0$

$$\Rightarrow b+(-a)+a > 0+a$$

$$\Rightarrow b+0 > a$$

$$\Rightarrow b > a.$$



B. Let  $M$  be the maximum for  $E$ .

$$\Rightarrow M \in E \text{ and } \forall x \in E, x \leq M.$$

We claim  $M$  is also the least upper bound.

Since  $\forall x \in E, x \leq M$ ,  $M$  is an U.B.

Consider any  $M' \in X$  such that  $M'$  is an U.B. for  $E$ .

$$\Rightarrow \forall x \in E, x \leq M'$$

Since  $M \in E$ , this shows  $M \leq M'$ .

Thus  $M \leq M'$  for any U.B.  $M'$  for  $E$ .

$\Rightarrow M$  is the least upper bound.

#### 4. 1.6.1 from text

$E$  is bounded  $\Rightarrow E$  has an upper bound  $M$  and a lower bound  $m$ .

~~Sketch~~ Let  $r' = \max\{|M|, |m|\}$ .

Claim: We show  $\forall x \in E, |x| \leq r'$ .

Pf:  $\forall x \in E$

$$x < M \leq |M| \leq r'$$

$$\text{and } m < x$$

$$\Rightarrow -x < -m \leq |m| \leq r'$$

~~(\*)~~  $\Rightarrow x \leq r'$  and  $-x \leq r'$

$$\Rightarrow |x| \leq r'. \quad \blacksquare$$

Set  $\lambda = r' + 1$ .

~~(\*)~~ From the claim  $\forall x \in E, |x| \leq r'$

$$\text{and } r' < r' + 1 = \lambda.$$

$$\Rightarrow |x| \leq r' \quad \forall x \in E. \quad \blacksquare$$

	Max	Min	Least U.B.	Greatest L.B.
(i)	7	2	7	2
(ii)	none	none	5	-5
(iii)	none	none	5	-5
(iv)	5	-5	5	-5
(v)	5	-5	5	-5
(vi)	none	none	none	0
(vii)	none	none	$\sqrt{2}$	0